



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,781 01/18/2002		18/2002	Takafumi Fujiwara	03500.016116.	9574
5514	7590	06/23/2006		EXAM	INER
FITZPATRI 30 ROCKEFI		POON, I	POON, KING Y		
NEW YORK			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			2625	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/050,781	FUJIWARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	King Y. Poon	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply		with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	April 2006.					
<i>'</i>	·					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under	r Ex paπe Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 55-59 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest solution of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 55-59 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 18 January 2002 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the last specific speci	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received in  iority documents have bee  eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/16/2005, المالمة في المالمة		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election without traverse of the restriction requirement mailed on 3/23/2006 in the reply filed on 4/24/2006 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 55-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.

For example, the examiner don't known how to interpret the limitation of "control reading process for reading the plurality of image data packets from the storage apparatus the input/output of the data transfer means for connecting said plural processing means..." of claim 1.

It is like "I am reading a story from a newspaper the batteries of a car for providing power to..." The above sentence cannot be properly interpreted.

Regarding claims 1: It is unclear the "image data packet" of lines 8, 10, 11, 20, 21, 24 is referring to the "image data packet" of line 4 (converted and not processed image data packet) or the image data packet of lines 5, 6 (processed image packet).

Application/Control Number: 10/050,781

Art Unit: 2625

Regarding claims 56: It is unclear the "image data packet" of lines 8, 10, 11, 20, 21, 24 is referring to the "image data packet" of line 10 (converted and not processed image data packet) or the image data packet of line 12 (processed image packet).

It is unclear the image input/output apparatus of line 1 is the same input/output apparatus of lines 3, 8.

Regarding claims 2, 4, 55, 57-59: Claims 2, 4, 55, 57-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph because they depend on rejected claims 1 and 56.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 2,4, 56-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balmer et al (US 5,524,265) in view of Tavares et al (US 5,515,537).

Regarding claims 1, 56: Balmer teaches an image input/output apparatus (fig. 2) for performing input/output of image data (column 87, lines 50-55, column 8, lines 50-60), comprising: an image input/output apparatus (fig. 2), an image input apparatus (column 7, line 67) for inputting image data to said image input/output apparatus; and an image output apparatus for outputting image data inputted by said image input/output apparatus(column 87, lines 50-55, column 8, lines 50-60), wherein said

image input/output apparatus further (fig. 2) comprises: a first image processing unit (the controller that generates the packet of column 81, lines 35-59, to be used by digital image/graphic processors for image processing) adapted to convert image data inputted by an image input apparatus to a plurality of image data packets (column 87, lines 40-45, column 12, lines 62-67, column 82), a second image processing unit adapted to perform image processing on the image data packets from said first image processing unit (e.g., column 87, lines 45-55); a third image processing unit (e.g., transfer controller, column 87, lines 50-55, video palette, column 87, lines 5-15, or printer controller, column 87, lines 50-55) adapted to generate image data based on the plurality of image data packets and to output the generated image data to an image output apparatus; a control unit (e.g., the software of the transfer controller and frame controller, column 87, lines 50-55) adapted to control storing process for storing the plurality of image data packets to a storage apparatus and to control reading process for reading the plurality of image data packets from the storage apparatus, and a data transfer unit (data bus, fig. 1, fig. 2) adapted to connect said plural image processing units and said control unit and to perform data transfer between said plural image processing units and said control unit unidirectionally (data can only be transmitted in one direction at a time by round robin token, column 25, lines 1-5), wherein said data transfer unit transfers the image data packet to one of said plural image processing units based on an identification information for identifying one of said plural image processing units added to the image data packet, and wherein one of said plural image processing units performs image processing on the image data packet which is

Page 4

Art Unit: 2625

transferred by said data transfer unit based on the identification information (column 19, column 17, lines 29-45).

Although Balmer teaches round robin token, column 25,lines 1-5, Balmer does not specifically disclosed the processors, control unit and data transfer unit are connected like a ring.

Tavares, in the same are round robin token among processors, teaches processors are connected like a ring (column 6, lines 64-67, column 7, lines 1-15, fig. 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Balmer to include: the processors, control unit, and data transfer unit are connected like a ring such that data locking the common network memory as number of processors increases as taught by Tavares, column 1, lines 29-40.

Regarding claims 2, 57: Balmer teaches wherein said control unit performs image processing setting for said plural image processing units through said data transfer unit, and one of said plural image processing units performs image processing on he basis of the image processing setting (column 12, lines 62-67, column 13, lines 1-15, column 17, lines 35-45.

Regarding clams 4, 58: Balmer teaches wherein said control unit generates a command packet (column 17, lines 25-40, column 22, lines 25-35, column 20, lines 15-25) in which a header (e.g., bits 0-3, fig. 4, column 17, lines 25-30) including the identification information is added to command data including image processing

Application/Control Number: 10/050,781

Art Unit: 2625

information to perform the image processing setting for said plural image processing units, wherein said data transfer unit transfers the command packet to one of said plural image processing units corresponding to the identification information, and wherein one of said plural image processing unit corresponding to the identification information performs the image processing based on the image processing information (column 12, lines 62-67, column 13, lines 1-5).

6. Claims 55, 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Balmer et al (US 5,524,265) in view of Tavares et al (US 5,515,537) as applied to claims 1, 56 above, and further in view of Parker.

Regarding claims 55, 59: Balmer does not teach wherein said second image processing unit performs resolution conversion process on the plurality of image data packets.

Parker teaches, in order to match an image to an output device's resolution, resolution conversion is required (column 1, lines 15-45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Balmer to include: wherein said second image processing unit performs resolution conversion process on the plurality of image data packets, such that the a desirable print result is obtained as taught by Parker, column 1, lines 40-45.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,781

Art Unit: 2625

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to King Y. Poon whose telephone number is 571-272-7440. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Coles can be reached on 571-272-7402. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

June 20, 2006

KING Y. POON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7